

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 7TH JUNE 2007 / 17TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 11135 of 2007(A)

PETITIONER:

LAILA, W/O.MOHAMMED KUTTY, RESIDING AT
EDAKKATTIL HOUSE, ATOOR P.O., THRISSUR
DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:

1. MULLORKARA GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
REP BY ITS SECRETARY, MULLORKARA P.O.,
MULLORKKARA, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. T.ALI, S/O.YOUSEF, THOTTINGAL HOUSE,
P.O.ATOOR, MULLORKARA, THRISSUR.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.V.R.KESAVA KAIMAL

R1 BY ADV. SRI.D.SREEKUMAR

V.R.K.KAIMAL

SRI.N.M.MADHU

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 07/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

WP.(C) No.11135 of 2007

Dated this the 7th day of June, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Ext.P4. One of the contentions taken by the petitioner in the writ petition is that Ext.P4 is passed without hearing the petitioner.

2. I heard counsel for the petitioner, counsel for the Panchayat and Sri. V.R.K. Kaimal, senior counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the Panchayat submits that the Panchayat is prepared to hear the petitioner and the second respondent and to take a fresh decision. In view of the complaint of the petitioner that the petitioner was not heard, Ext.P4 is quashed only for the reason that the petitioner was not heard. I leave open the contentions of the petitioner. In order to facilitate an early disposal of the matter, the following directions are issued:

Petitioner and the second respondent will be present in the office of the Panchayat at 11 a.m on 30.6.2007. The Secretary of the

Panchayat will hear the petitioner and the second respondent and take a decision as was directed in Ext.P3. The interim order passed by this court will continue till a decision is taken by the second respondent. The decision will be taken by the Secretary within a period of one month from 30.6.2007.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb